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**Introduction:** NIMROD’s ‘implicit leapfrog’ algorithm is tailored for coefficients of high-order spatial expansions.

- A plane (poloidal) of 2D spectral elements and finite Fourier series in the periodic coordinate (toroidal angle) allow spectral convergence.
- Implicit advances require solution of large algebraic systems at each step.
  - With symmetric geometry and equilibria, linear computations solve a separate linear system for each Fourier component, like separate 2D computations.
  - Matrices for nonlinear 3D computations have matrix elements that couple different Fourier components. They are smaller than the matrix elements for the poloidal-plane coupling by at least one factor of the perturbation amplitude.
- Our use of FFTs to compute Fourier-component couplings scales well in production calculations, but algebraic representation of the complete ‘3D’ matrix is not practical.
- Krylov-space solvers iterate with matrix-vector product operations but not elements of the matrix. Approximate matrices are used to ‘precondition.’
Hall-MHD in 3D has been problematic because fluctuations do not contribute to the diagonal of the B-advance operator, and the whistler is the fastest mode of the system.

• With A being a test function and dropping surface terms,

\[-\frac{\Delta t}{2} \int A \cdot \nabla \times \left( \frac{1}{\mu_0 n e} \tilde{B}^{j+1/2} \times \nabla \times \Delta B \right) dVol =\]

\[-\frac{\Delta t}{2} \int \frac{1}{\mu_0 n e} (\nabla \times A) \cdot \tilde{B}^{j+1/2} \times (\nabla \times \Delta B) dVol\]

• When the test and trial functions are expanded, the resulting matrix has mixed partials on the diagonal due to the cross product.
• With a Fourier expansion, the first-order toroidal derivatives lead to imaginary terms on the diagonal.
  • The operator is non-Hermitian.
  • It detracts from diagonal dominance when \( \tilde{B}^{j+1/2} \) and \( \Delta t \) are sufficiently large.
Aside: implicit electron inertia, even at physical $m_e/m_i$ ratios, helps matrix condition numbers.

- The HPD part of our system is increased by adding the $\frac{1}{\varepsilon_0 \omega_e^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} J$ part of electron inertia.

\[
\Delta B - \frac{\Delta t}{2} \nabla \times \left( \mathbf{V}^{j+1} \times \Delta B \right) + \frac{\Delta t}{2} \nabla \times \frac{1}{ne} \left( J^{j+1/2} \times \Delta B + \Delta J \times B^{j+1/2} \right)
\]

\[
+ \Delta t \nabla \times \left( \frac{\eta}{2} + \frac{d_e^2}{\Delta t} \right) \nabla \times \Delta B - \Delta t \kappa_{\text{divb}} \nabla \nabla \cdot \Delta B
\]

for the lhs of the $\mathbf{B}$-advance, also showing the divergence cleaning term. The electron skin depth is $d_e = c/\omega_e$.

- Physically, electron inertia leads to the electron cyclotron resonance, which keeps the $R$-mode phase speed from growing indefinitely as $k_{\text{max}}$ increases with spatial resolution.

- This helps limit stiffness, hence condition numbers, in two-fluid computations.
Preconditioning Strategies

NIMROD’s standard strategy is to use only the large matrix elements that couple coefficients of the same Fourier index.

- This approach works well for semi-implicit MHD with predictor/corrector advection where matrices are HPD [JCP 195, 355 (2004)] and for semi-implicit MHD with implicit advection and/or gyroviscosity, where the non-Hermitian part is small.

Three new (for NIMROD) strategies for substantially non-HPD matrices have been tested this past year. Two have been discarded:

1. Polynomial approximation can reduce GMRES iteration in the two-fluid $B$-advance, but its iteration is just as costly.
2. Evaluating 2D poloidal ‘slices’ at a set of uniformly spaced toroidal angles was intended to complement the standard Fourier-based scheme.
   - Stand-alone ‘slicing’ is effective for sufficiently small $\Delta t$.
   - At $\Delta t$ of interest for production simulations, lack of diagonal dominance on the toroidal grid prevents convergence, even when used as a multiplicative step with the standard Fourier-based scheme.
3) An approach based on limited off-diagonal Fourier coupling has advantages over the other two strategies.

- For block Gauss-Seidel preconditioning, the Fourier representation helps keep the spectral radius of \((D + L)^{-1}U\) less than 1 at large time-step, unlike the slicing strategy. [Here, the notation refers to block-based splitting \(A = L + D + U\).]

- With limited couplings, matrix elements can be generated, and matrix-vector products are fast relative to full matrix-free product operations.
  - The required coding for these matrices is a generalization of existing code for the diagonal-in-Fourier systems.
  - When used in iteration, these matrices are not factored.
- Generating matrix elements is computationally intensive but scales well in parallel. [Generation of full convolution matrices is not practical, however, even in parallel.]
  - The extra communication during Jacobi/Gauss-Seidel iteration (preconditioner looping) is point-to-point.
The limited Fourier coupling helps avoid increasing GMRES iteration as toroidal resolution is increased.

- The test case here is a small two-fluid 1/1 cylindrical kink. [The physics is helically symmetric, but NIMROD treats it as a 3D computation.]
- Here, the representation is 9×9 biquintic, and $\Delta t/\tau_{Hp} = 2$.

G-S passes makes the iteration nearly independent of the number of Fourier comps ($N_{\text{Four}}$) in this case.

Serial CPU time is shown per Fourier comp. Data at $N_{\text{Four}}=11$ is from Bassi unlike others.

- The near-constant iteration count with increasing $N_{\text{Four}}$ is important.
- This case is dominated by $n=1$; others may be more challenging.
Parallel Scaling

Improving resolution requires increasing use of parallelism that is available in new multi-core massively parallel computers.

- The new preconditioner has been developed to provide good scaling with NIMROD’s two types of domain decomposition (poloidal blocks and Fourier layers).
- Application is a two-fluid kink in the early nonlinear stage; $\Delta t = 0.1 \tau_A$.
- Results are from the Cray XT4 at NERSC (“Franklin”), quad-core.
- Parameters provide a weak scaling of a production computation.
- New preconditioner help keep GMRES iterations fixed with increasing $N_{Four}$.
- Largest computation has $1.8 \times 10^8$ degrees of freedom (coefficients of the high-order representation) and exceeds the 1-TFlop level of actual performance.

Blue: 32 blocks; Black: 64 blocks; Red: 128 blocks. Within color shows increasing $N_{Four}$. 

![Graph showing iterations, time, and GMRES iterations vs. number of processors.](Image)
Memory may be the limiting factor in this scaling.

- Results include recent efficiency improvements that reduce latency in the communication operations before and after FFTs.
- The two types of decomposition are algorithmically independent.
- Both 64- and 128-block sets are scaled to 86 Fourier components with 2 components per layer.
- Computations with 128 blocks approach maximum average available memory per core.
- Improvements in new release of SuperLU_DIST may help.
- LBL group (X. Li) is developing an ILU version of SuperLU_DIST, which may be more efficient for preconditioning.
Internal Kink Application

Application of NIMROD to the nonlinear two-fluid internal-kink is both a nonlinear benchmark and a research study.

• Cylindrical results (see poster BP6.00042) can be benchmarked against others.
  • Germaschewski will present the same type of fast reconnection behavior from a full model in presentation GI1.00004.

• Toroidal results summarized here may be new.
Simulations of the internal kink in toroidal geometry investigate inherently three-dimensional evolution.

- Equilibria are generated with the new NIMEQ code: E. Howell, BP6.00041.
- Profiles for the circular cross-section, $R/a=4$ torus are specified as $P=\text{const}$ ($\beta=5\times10^{-3}$) and
  \[ F = 3.44 + 0.12(1 - \psi) + 0.064\psi(\psi - 1) \]
  where $\psi$ is the normalized ring flux.
- Here $q(0)=0.97$, $q(a)=1.61$.
- Other parameters are:

  \[
  S = \frac{\tau_r}{\tau_{Hp}} = 1.48 \times 10^6 \quad \tau_{Hp} = 2\pi a^2 \sqrt{\mu_0 \rho / \mu_0 I_p} \\
  d_e = 5 \times 10^{-3} \quad \delta = d_i / 2 = 0.11 \quad \rho_s = 1.5 \times 10^{-2} \\
  \mu_0 \nu_{iso} / \eta = \text{Pm} = 0.1 \quad T_i \approx 0
  \]

Mesh of spectral elements reflects slight Shafranov shift and is packed near 1/1 resonance.
Moderate and high resolution computations obtain a nonlinearly increasing kinetic energy growth-rate.

- First case has a 20×20 mesh, degree of polynomials is 8, and $0 \leq n \leq 42$.
- Second case has a 24×32 mesh, degree of polynomials is 8, and $0 \leq n \leq 85$.
- The moderate and large computations were run on 300 and 1376 cores of “Franklin” in quad-core configuration.
The computations show a transition from current-sheet to x-point reconnection when the growth-rate of kinetic energy increases.

Just before the increase in growth rate ($t = 4.67 \times 10^{-3} \tau_r$), there is a broad layer of parallel current density (grayscale) where field-lines are reconnecting.

Near the peak growth rate ($t = 4.95 \times 10^{-3} \tau_r$), x-point reconnection is evident, and parallel current is concentrated.

• While the toroidal cases are inherently 3D, initial $R/a=4$ results are qualitatively similar to helically symmetric cylindrical results.
Conclusions

• Improvements to NIMROD’s computational linear algebra are proving essential for studying two-fluid macroscopic dynamics in 3D.

• The implicit Hall term is non-Hermitian, but its impact on diagonal dominance is minimized by NIMROD’s Fourier representation.

• Implicit electron inertia is a HPD operator and limits numerical stiffness from the $R$-mode, even with realistic $m_e/m_i$.

• Incorporating limited Fourier coupling is proving reasonably effective and scalable in production two-fluid computations.

• The algorithmic improvements are being used successfully in a two-fluid study of internal kink.
  
  • Also RFP tearing--see J. King, poster NP6.00071.

• Toroidal geometry computations are inherently 3D, but initial two-fluid results are qualitatively similar to the cylindrical results.
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