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A verification effort is underway as the first step towards nonlinear two-fluid sawtooth simulations.

- Two-fluid effects introduce drifts which can stabilize the sawtooth when the drift frequency is sufficiently large compared to the growth rate.
  - Marginal stability occurs roughly when $\omega \gtrsim 2\gamma_0$. [Ara et al., 1978]
- Finite electron compressibility allows the electrons and ions to decouple. [Zakharov and Rogers, 1992].
  - The decoupling increases the linear growth rates.
  - The semi-collisional growth rate scales as $\gamma \sim \rho_s^{4/7} S^{-1/7}$.
  - The collisionless growth rate scales as $\gamma \sim \rho_s^{2/3} d_e^{1/3}$.
- Two-fluid modeling of the sawtooth requires an accurate representation of both the drift stabilization and the enhanced growth rate.
Calculations are performed in a screw pinch that is $n = 1$ ideal kink unstable.

**Equilibrium profiles**

![Equilibrium profiles graph]

**Equilibrium Parameters:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$q_0$</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$q(a)$</td>
<td>24.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$B_0$</td>
<td>1 T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R/a$</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\beta_0$</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Equilibria are generated by specifying the pressure and safety factor.
- Two equilibria are studied: one with a uniform pressure, and the other with a nonuniform pressure profile.
  - This allows for the study of two-fluid modifications to the kink with and without drift effects.
- A strongly sheared profile is needed to ensure thin inertial and resistive layers.
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Trigonometric elements are used to avoid small scale numerical instabilities.

- The trig-elements represent the radial direction using the standard 1-D elements, but they represent the logical $y$-direction using Fourier cardinal functions.
- A numerical mode develops in two-fluid calculations when the standard 2-D elements are used.
  - The numerical mode only appears when $\nabla p_0 \neq 0$.
  - The numerical mode exhibits mesh scale oscillations in the logical $y$-direction ($\theta$ or $z$) but has finite width in $r$.
  - Calculations that use a uniform $T_0$ are the least problematic.
  - This mode might be a numerical drift wave...
Resistive MHD calculations capture the transition from resistive to ideal kink.

- The resistive interchange scaling $\gamma T_A = S^{-1/3}$ is an excellent approximation for the uniform pressure equilibrium with $S < 10^{10}$.
  - Here the ideal drive is weak: $\gamma_{ideal} T_A = 4.2 \times 10^{-5}$
- The pressure gradient is the dominant source of free energy for the nonuniform pressure equilibrium.
  - Ideal behavior is recovered for $S \gtrsim 10^9$.
  - Here the ideal growth rate is $\gamma_{ideal} T_A = 1.6 \times 10^{-3}$.
- At small $S$ the validity of the analytic theory breaks down due to a finite layer width.
The radial velocity resembles the “top hot” trail function at large $S$.

- Figures show the mode structure for the nonuniform pressure equilibrium at $S = 2.6 \times 10^7$.
- The momentum and current layers are of the same width.
  - Note that the $x$-axes use different scales in the two plots.
Two-fluid growth rates exhibit collisionless scaling at large $d_i$ in the absence of drifts ($p' = 0$).

- The collisionless growth rate scales as $\gamma \tau_A \propto \rho_s^{2/3} d_e^{1/3}$.
  - Both $\rho_s$ and $d_e$ scale linearly with $d_i$, hence $\gamma \tau_A \propto d_i$.
  - At large $d_i$ the growth rate is insensitive to $S$.
- Semi-collisional scaling is observed in calculations that neglect electron inertia.
The separation of layer widths is observed at large $d_i$.

- The width of the flow layer scales with $\rho_s$.
  - The fluid treatment is valid provided $\rho_i < \rho_s$.
- The current layer width depends on both the resistive layer width and the electron skin depth.
  - In the collisionless limit the current layer width scales linearly with electron skin depth.
- Figures show the mode structure for $S = 2.6 \times 10^7$. 
Drift effects reduce the linear growth rate at small $d_i$.

The calculated growth rates are approximated by the model equation

$$\omega = \omega^* + \omega^* MHD - \omega^2 / 4.$$

The nonuniform pressure equilibrium is used with $T_i = T_e$.

The $1/1$ kink is not the dominant mode at large $d_i$.

- The new mode is characterized by a large $v_\parallel$.
- This mode scales linearly with $d_i$ and is insensitive to $S$. 
Large oscillations in the mode structure are observed when the drift stabilization is significant.

- These oscillations are characteristic of drift stabilization.
- Figures show the mode structure for $S = 2.6 \times 10^5$.
- Here the growth rate is 25% smaller than the MHD growth rate.
The mode structure of the dominant mode at large $d_i$ indicates that it is not a kink mode.

- The mode is concentrated inside the $q = 1$ surface, and it is characterized by a large parallel velocity.
  - The kink mode has a small parallel velocity.
- Figures show the mode structure for $S = 2.6 \times 10^6$. 

---
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Zakharov’s dispersion relation applies to 1/1 kink in the limit where the $\rho_s \gg \lambda_e, L_h$.

Neglecting inertial layer corrections ($L_h = 0$), Zakharov’s dispersion relation is:

$$\frac{\pi}{2} \nu^4 \left( 1 - 2\nu^2 [1 + \ln 2] \right) = \frac{\Gamma (1 - \alpha)}{\Gamma (1 + \alpha)} \left( \frac{\nu}{2\lambda} \right)^{2\alpha}$$

$\nu$ is the normalized growth rate: $\nu \approx \frac{\gamma \tau A}{q' \rho_s}$.

$\lambda$ is the ratio of the ion sound gyroradius to the current layer width: $\lambda \approx \rho_s / \lambda_e$.

$\alpha = \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} + \nu^2}$.

Formally the dispersion relation is valid in the limit that $\nu \ll 1$.

Factors of $(\gamma - i\omega_*)$ in the definitions of $\nu$ and $\lambda$ have been neglected for simplicity.
There is disagreement between my attempts to solve the dispersion relation and the published solution at small $\lambda$.

- At small $\lambda$ my solution of the dispersion relation has only complex roots. The published solution is purely real (line 2).
- Numerical solutions to the layer equation (line 1) are also real.
- The validity of the dispersion relation breaks down at small $\lambda$.
  - $\nu = \hat{\Gamma}/\lambda \sim 0.3 - 0.7$. 

[Zakharov and Rogers,92]
The comparison between NIMROD’s calculated growth rates and solutions to the dispersion relation show promise.

- At high $S$ and large $d_i$ the numerically calculated growths agree with the theory.
- However, numerically calculated modes are purely growing.
- The validity of the dispersion relation is limited due the relatively large values of $\nu$ ($\nu \approx 0.4 - 0.5$).
NIMROD reproduces the two-fluid linear kink behavior in several regimes.

- Resistive MHD calculations correctly calculate the linear growth rate in both the inertial and resistive regimes.
  - Agreement between theory and calculations is limited by the validity of small layer approximation.
- In the absence of $\omega_* = 0$ effects, two-fluid calculations show an increased growth rate at large $d_i$ characteristic of collisionless reconnection.
  - In this regime the electron stress tensor, which is not implemented in NIMROD, is important in many physical applications.
- The growth rate and real frequency are described by a simple model dispersion relation with finite $\omega_*$. 