

# FEM Formulation Comparison for Electron MHD

B. S. Cornille

University of Wisconsin-Madison

November 3, 2018  
NIMROD Team Meeting

# Outline

- 1 Electron MHD System
- 2 Galerkin Formulations
- 3 FOSLS Formulations
- 4 MFEM

## Electron MHD is being used as a model problem for the Hall term in Ohm's Law

With the assumption  $\omega_{ci} \ll \omega \ll \omega_{ce} \approx \omega_{pe}$  the linearized MHD equations can be written

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{\vec{B}}}{\partial t} = -\vec{\nabla} \times \tilde{\vec{E}} \quad (\text{Faraday's Law})$$

$$\tilde{\vec{E}} = \frac{\tilde{\vec{J}}}{ne} \times \vec{B}_0 \quad (\text{Ohm's Law})$$

$$\mu_0 \tilde{\vec{J}} = \vec{\nabla} \times \tilde{\vec{B}} \quad (\text{Ampere's Law})$$

$$\vec{\nabla} \cdot \tilde{\vec{B}} = 0 \quad (\text{Divergence-Free Constraint})$$

## Using $H(\vec{\nabla} \times)$ conforming elements for $\tilde{\vec{B}}$

Find  $\vec{b} \in H(\vec{\nabla} \times)$  such that,

$$\left\langle \frac{\partial \vec{b}}{\partial t}, \vec{c} \right\rangle = \left\langle \frac{\vec{B}_0}{ne\mu_0} \times (\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{b}), \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{c} \right\rangle \quad \forall \vec{c} \in H(\vec{\nabla} \times)$$

- This formulation does not explicitly constrain  $\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{b} = 0$
- The bilinear form on the right-hand side is not symmetric

## Using $H^1$ conforming elements for $\tilde{\vec{B}}$

Find  $\vec{b} \in H^1$  such that,

$$\left\langle \frac{\partial \vec{b}}{\partial t}, \vec{c} \right\rangle = \left\langle \frac{\vec{B}_0}{ne\mu_0} \times (\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{b}), \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{c} \right\rangle - \kappa \langle \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{b}, \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{c} \rangle \quad \forall \vec{c} \in H^1$$

- Constrains the divergence error with dissipation
- Satisfaction of the inf-sup condition relies on appropriate  $\kappa$
- Bilinear form is also not symmetric

## $\vec{B}$ and $\vec{J}$ as fundamental quantities

Define residuals with time centering  $\theta$ ,

$$\vec{R}_B = \dot{\vec{b}} + \vec{\nabla} \times \left[ \left( \vec{j} + \theta \Delta t \dot{\vec{j}} \right) \times \frac{\vec{B}_0}{ne} \right]$$

$$\vec{R}_J = \mu_0 \left( \vec{j} + \theta \Delta t \dot{\vec{j}} \right) - \vec{\nabla} \times \left( \vec{b} + \theta \Delta t \dot{\vec{b}} \right)$$

$$R_{dB} = \vec{\nabla} \cdot \left( \vec{b} + \theta \Delta t \dot{\vec{b}} \right)$$

$$R_{dJ} = \vec{\nabla} \cdot \left( \vec{j} + \theta \Delta t \dot{\vec{j}} \right)$$

Minimize  $I$  with appropriate weights  $C_B$ ,  $C_J$ ,  $C_{dB}$ , and  $C_{dJ}$ ,

$$I = \int \left( C_B \vec{R}_B \cdot \vec{R}_B + C_J \vec{R}_J \cdot \vec{R}_J + C_{dB} R_{dB}^2 + C_{dJ} R_{dJ}^2 \right) d\Omega$$

where  $\vec{b}, \vec{j} \in H^1$

## $\vec{B}$ and $\vec{E}$ as fundamental quantities

Define residuals with time centering  $\theta$ ,

$$\vec{R}_B = \dot{\vec{b}} + \vec{\nabla} \times (\vec{e} + \theta \Delta t \dot{\vec{e}})$$

$$\vec{R}_E = \vec{e} + \theta \Delta t \dot{\vec{e}} - \left[ \vec{\nabla} \times (\vec{b} + \theta \Delta t \dot{\vec{b}}) \right] \times \frac{\vec{B}_0}{ne\mu_0}$$

$$R_{dB} = \vec{\nabla} \cdot (\vec{b} + \theta \Delta t \dot{\vec{b}})$$

Minimize  $I$  with appropriate weights  $C_B$ ,  $C_J$ ,  $C_{dB}$ , and  $C_{dJ}$ ,

$$I = \int \left( C_B \vec{R}_B \cdot \vec{R}_B + C_E \vec{R}_E \cdot \vec{R}_E + C_{dB} R_{dB}^2 \right) d\Omega$$

where  $\vec{b} \in H^1$  and  $\vec{e} \in H(\vec{\nabla} \times)$

# MFEM is a flexible finite element library developed by LLNL

- Supports  $H^1$ ,  $H(\vec{\nabla} \times)$ ,  $H(\vec{\nabla} \cdot)$ , and  $L_2$  conforming finite elements of arbitrary order
- Ships with many bilinear and linear integrators pre-programmed
- Programming new integration terms is relatively simple
- Easy to get basic examples working
- Parallel capabilities with many solver options